

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/809,164	03/25/2004	Robert J. Schultz	RSTN-114	7766	
30139 WILSON & H	7590 06/01/200 IAM	9	EXAMINER		
2530 BERRYESSA ROAD			CHOI, EUNSOOK		
PMB: 348 SAN JOSE, C	A 95132		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2419		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/01/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/809,164	SCHULTZ, ROBERT J.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
EUNSOOK CHOI	2419	

	EUNSOOK CHOI	2419						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 11 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe</li> </ol>	☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which place application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Refor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time.							
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: (1box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		26(a) and the annualist						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fet have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fet under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely file may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.70(b).								
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	of the date of					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a)</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b)</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	I be entered and an e	xplanation of					
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).  10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. \( \subseteq \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \( \subseteq \) See continuation sheet.								
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. ☐ Other:								
(Pankai Kumar)								
/Pankaj Kumar/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2419								

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11: Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues on page 7 that there is no explanation in the Office Action to show the Fig. 8 Perform Table Lookup and the Transmission Error Detection - Packet Lifetime Calculations operate with respect to the same packet. Fig. 8, overlapping packet processing and table lookup, is self-explanatory of two operations, Transmission Error Detection - Packet Lifetime Calculation and Perform Table Lookup, for a same packet (see branching to the two operations from Extract Lookup Key from a packet and Initiate Lookup.